

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

The National Radon Safety Board ("NRSB") has been requested by the equipment manufacturer or its authorized agent, as per the information below, to evaluate the designated device for inclusion in the NRSB list of approved measurement devices.

The Device Evaluation process is a two-step process:

1. The Technical Evaluation
2. The Performance Evaluation

The device must successfully pass the Technical Evaluation, before moving to the Performance Evaluation part of the process.

Manufacturer/Authorized Agent Name: _____

Device Model Name & Number: _____

Type of Measurement Device: _____

- Please use one of the following designations: Alpha Track Detector (**AT**); Charcoal Canister (**AC**); Liquid Scintillation Vial (**LS**); Electret Ion Chamber-Short Term (**ES**); Electret Ion Chamber-Long Term (**EL**); Continuous Radon Monitor (**CR**); Blind Continuous Radon Monitor (**BCR**); Working Level Monitor (**WL**)

Bowser-Morner has agreed to cooperate with the NRSB for the performance testing of new devices in Part 2 of the device evaluation process. The applicant will be responsible for any fees incurred for performance testing of new devices.

This letter of understanding details the responsibilities of the equipment manufacturer or its authorized agent and those of the NRSB in this device evaluation process.

Information to Be Provided – Step 1

The information detailed below must be supplied to the NRSB Administrative Office, 14 Hayes Street, Elmsford, NY 10523. It is general information that is required in the draft Instrument Evaluation Program Handbook that was prepared by SC&A for U.S. EPA September 6, 1995. This information will be used to help the NRSB work with the manufacturer to document the measurement system and place it in the context of other systems already evaluated:

- A check payable to CCICN/NRSB in the amount of \$1000 or a card payment for \$1,000 via the secure link: <https://link.clover.com/urlshortener/R8FQVf>
- Four (4) sets of the following information are to be provided in letter format from the manufacturer to the NRSB:
 - The manufacturer name, location, and the identification of the line of detectors to be evaluated
 - The name of a contact person to answer technical questions
 - References in published journals (including copies of reprints if available)
 - Unpublished technical reports
 - A description of the device's history in terms of EPA or other proficiency program experience (e.g., whether the manufacturer has submitted a similar system for evaluation in the RMP Program or the Instrument Evaluation Program)
 - Information regarding the results of participation in any performance evaluations, such as those sponsored by other federal agencies or states.

Technical Information

- A complete description of the design of the system

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

- For **passive systems** this includes the devices as well as the analysis equipment, and for **active systems** this includes components. Features particularly important to the operation of the system should be described, with schematics and the materials used.
- For **passive systems**, submit four (4) samples of test kits. For a **continuous radon monitor**, submit one device or photos and pictures.
- The procedures for using the measurement system to perform measurements, including any time requirements (e.g., deploying the instrument or device for the appropriate time period, starting and ending the measurement, and obtaining the measurement results)
- If a pump is used, the technical specifications for the pump operation, the procedures for verifying and adjusting pump flow rate and checking the filter(s), if applicable
- The radon entry method, either pump or passive diffusion, and the method for eliminating the entry of radon decay products into the chamber, if appropriate
- A complete description of the various options for performing measurements with the equipment (e.g., various measurement durations)
- A complete description of the calibration and recalibration process, including:
 - the procedure for obtaining and rechecking the background of the system (and components, if appropriate); and
 - the recommended frequency of the recalibration procedures
- A general description of the technical basis for the calibration factors and background used, including:
 - the range of detector sensitivities and efficiencies;
 - the results of studies of background and the range of values generally found;
 - the results of studies on the effects of parameters that may affect the system's efficiency, such as humidity, temperature, barometric pressure, etc.
- The results of field studies on the ability to store measurement information during shipping
- The effects of thoron and procedures for its discrimination
- The routine equipment performance checks that are recommended with the system, including:
 - the corrective action limits used for assessing the results of the checks; and
 - the frequency and recommended documentation for the results
- A description of other quality control procedures that are not already covered.
- Tamper-detection features and recommended procedures, including guidelines for recommending a retest.
- A general description of the system's ability to perform properly in a wide range of conditions, including:
 - A range of temperature, humidity, and barometric pressure (including field data - see the Performance Matrix)
 - the effects of shock (e.g., the results of drop tests)
 - the effects of vibration (e.g., operation in an industrial setting)
 - the effects due to external electromagnetic fields
 - the effects from other sources of ionizing radiation
- The limitations of the measurement system in terms of the lower limit of detection, minimum and maximum exposure times, and the system's response time to changing concentrations
- Complete test results for the various parameters described in the Performance Matrix (see Table 1), with the results of individual measurements, the type and location of the controlled environment

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

(chamber) where the measurements were conducted, the type of instruments used to measure the various parameters, and references to or descriptions of their calibration schedules.

- A summary of the information described above in the general format of the Performance Matrix (see Table 1). Participants are required to provide a brief explanation for any areas where test results are not given. The explanation should present the physical principles of the device or components that prevent any effects from that particular parameter

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

MATRIX MUST BE FILLED IN COMPLETELY BEFORE APPLICATION CAN BE REVIEWED

Table 1. Performance Matrix for Technical Evaluation – Step 1

Parameter Name	Range	Optimal Testing Range	Actual Testing Conditions	Radon or RDP Conc.	N (# of meas.) in each testing set	Average (MV/RV) for each set	RSD (%) for set of meas.
Radon Conc. (Decay Product Concentration for WL measurement devices)	Low – High	LLD as stated by manufacturer 100 pCi/L or 0.5 WL					
Temperature	Low – High	10 C 30 C					
Relative Humidity (non condensing)	Low – High	15% rH 95% rH					
Atmospheric Pressure	Low – High	70 kPa 106 kPa					
Background ambient photon radiation (external gamma x-ray)	Low – High	<8 µR/h >8 µR/h					
Non-ionizing external electromagnetic field	Operate equipment in the vicinity of RF emitters						

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

Shock/ vibration	Drop test from 0.1 m						
Atmospheric contami- nants	Conduct testing as appropriate to type of equipment						
Air movement	Low - High	0 m/s 0.2 m/s					
Presence of thoron	Low - High						

LLD = lower limit of detection MV = measured value as reported by participant's device
 RV = reference value as measured by EPA chamber equipment
 RSD = relative standard deviation; see Section II.B.

Performance Evaluation Data – Step 2

After the NRSB has concluded its technical evaluation and is satisfied with the results, the NRSB will ask the manufacturer to forward the devices to Bowser-Morner for the Performance Evaluation:

Bowser-Morner
 4514 Taylorsville Road
 Dayton OH 45401
 Attn: Radon Lab
 Email: radon@bowser-morner.com

The Manufacturer must provide complete instructions for set up, deployment, starting, stopping and downloading (or printing) the test data, as appropriate.

Bowser-Morner will expose these devices in their chamber according to each of the following exposure environment criteria based on the Instrument Evaluation Program Handbook written under contract by SC&A on September 6, 1995.

Parameters to be used during the Device Evaluation

1. Radon concentrations.
2. Ambient temperature.
3. Relative humidity.
4. Radon decay products (attached).

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

Exposure Environment

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Radon concentration target:
Duration:
Relative humidity:
Temperature:
radon decay product: | any one point between 4 to 10 pCi/L
48 hours or more
15% to 25%
12 to 17C
low |
| 2. | Radon concentration target:
Duration:
Relative humidity:
Temperature:
radon decay product: | any one point between 4 to 10 pCi/L.
48 hours or more
70% to 80%
22 to 27C
high |
| 3. | Radon concentration target:
Duration:
Relative humidity:
Temperature:
radon decay product: | any three points between 4 to 20 pCi/L.
48 hours or more
50%
21C
low |

After Bowser-Morner has finished exposing the devices according to the above-referenced exposure environments (there are 5 distinct environments in the proposal), Bowser-Morner will return the devices back to the manufacturer for read out and analysis of measured radon chamber levels. Bowser-Morner shall be responsible for exposing the devices properly under the agreed to conditions and will notify the NRSB if any of the performance conditions were not met or were altered.

Bowser-Morner will forward to the NRSB Administrative Office, 14 Hayes Street, Elmsford, NY 10523, all of Bowser-Morner radon chamber data along with information about the chamber's operating characteristics.

The manufacturer will forward the measured radon values for each of the exposure periods directly to the NRSB office. The NRSB will evaluate the manufacture's measured values against Bowser Morner radon chamber target values under all exposure environments, according to the performance criteria stated below.

Performance Criteria

- Individual relative error of each device must be less than or equal to 20.0% as calculated by:

$$IRE = ABS VAL (MV - RV) / RV * 100$$

where IRE is the individual relative error
 ABS VAL is the absolute value
 MV is the measured radon concentration of the device
 RV is the reference radon concentration

- Precision error of all devices must be less than or equal to 20.0% as calculated by:

$$RSD = (SD / AVG) * 100$$

where RSD is the relative standard deviation
 SD is the standard deviation of a set of n simultaneous measurements in the same environment

AGREEMENT FOR DEVICE EVALUATION

AVG is the mean on the n measurements in the same environment

The NRSB will be solely responsible for determining whether the device should be listed in the NRSB privatized certification program based upon successful demonstration of the above-referenced performance criteria, as well as review of other general and technical information that is requested of the manufacturer by the NRSB.

The NRSB assumes no liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, method, or process disclosed in this document or any other statutory or common law theory governing liability.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement by their duly authorized representatives as of the Effective Date set forth above.

Manufacturer/Agent

By: _____
Signature

By: _____
Print Name

Title: _____

Date: _____

Phone: _____

National Radon Safety Board

By: _____
Signature

By: _____
Print Name

Title: _____

Date: _____

Phone: _____